



GACE® Teacher Leadership Assessment

Task 5: Collaboration with Families and the Community

Rubric for Step 1: The Needs Assessment (Textbox 5.1.1)

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|--|--|--|--|
| A response at the 1-level provides minimal evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community and colleagues' | A response at the 2-level provides partial evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community and colleagues' | A response at the 3-level provides effective evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community and colleagues' | A response at the 4-level provides extensive evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community and colleagues' |





Rubric for Step 1 (continued)

understanding of diversity and the family and community culture in order to improve student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is *minimal* and/or *ineffective* throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.

understanding of diversity and the family and community culture in order to improve student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is *limited* and/or vague throughout the response for Step 1.

understanding of diversity and the family and community culture in order to improve student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 1.

understanding of diversity and the family and community culture in order to improve student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is *insightful* and *tightly connected* throughout the response for Step 1.

Score of 0 for Step 1

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 1 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 5—Step 1 textbox.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 5—Step 1.
- The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.
- None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 1 textboxes.
 - Needs assessment that includes a representative sample of questions asked with responses and/or aggregated data summarizing the responses





Response for Textbox 5.1.1

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: |
| little or no evidence of the | inconsistent evidence of the | appropriate evidence of the | significant evidence of the |
| facilitation and collaboration | facilitation and collaboration | facilitation and collaboration | facilitation and collaboration |
| in developing a family and | in developing a family and | in developing a family and | in developing a family and |
| community needs | community needs | community needs | community needs |
| assessment with colleagues | assessment with colleagues | assessment with colleagues | assessment with colleagues |
| ineffective evidence of the | partial evidence of the | complete evidence of the | thorough evidence of the connection between the results of the needs assessment and the targeted area of need |
| connection between the | connection between the | connection between the | |
| results of the needs | results of the needs | results of the needs | |
| assessment and the targete | assessment and the targeted | assessment and the targeted | |
| area of need | area of need | area of need | |
| trivial explanation of how th | uneven explanation of how | logical explanation of how the | substantive explanation of |
| targeted area of need will | the targeted area of need will | targeted area of need will | how the targeted area of |
| improve a relevant aspect o | improve a relevant aspect of | improve a relevant aspect of | need will improve a relevant |
| the educational system and | the educational system and | the educational system and | aspect of the educational |
| affect student learning | affect student learning | affect student learning | system and affect student |
| minimal evidence of how the collaborative development of the needs assessment helped identify opportunities to improve colleagues' collaboration with, | · | informed evidence of how the collaborative development of the needs assessment helped identify opportunities to improve colleagues' collaboration with, | in-depth evidence of how the collaborative development of the needs assessment helped identify opportunities to improve colleagues' collaboration with, |





Response for Textbox 5.1.1 (continued)

| communication with, and | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| understanding of family and | | | |
| community culture and | | | |
| diversity in order to improve | | | |
| student learning | | | |

communication with, and understanding of family and community culture and diversity in order to improve student learning

communication with, and understanding of family and community culture and diversity in order to improve student learning

communication with, and understanding of family and community culture and diversity in order to improve student learning





Rubric for Step 2: Development and Implementation of the Plan (Textbox 5.2.1)

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|--|--|--|--|
| A response at the 1-level provides minimal evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan; and to analyze the role in facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues. | A response at the 2-level provides partial evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan; and to analyze the role in facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues. | A response at the 3-level provides effective evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan; and to analyze the role in facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues. | A response at the 4-level provides extensive evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan; and to analyze the role in facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues. |
| The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 2. Evidence may also be missing. | The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 2. | The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 2. | The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 2. |

Score of 0 for Step 2

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 2 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 5—Step 2 textbox.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 5—Step 2.





Response for Textbox 5.2.1

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|---|---|---|--|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following: |
| trivial evidence of using the | partial evidence of using the | effective evidence of using | insightful evidence of using |
| knowledge of various | knowledge of various | the knowledge of various | the knowledge of various |
| backgrounds, ethnicities, and | backgrounds, ethnicities, and | backgrounds, ethnicities, and | backgrounds, ethnicities, and |
| cultures within the school and |
| community to influence | community to influence | community to influence | community to influence |
| colleagues' planning and | colleagues' planning and | colleagues' planning and | colleagues' planning and |
| implementation of the plan |
| ineffective evidence of | uneven evidence of | relevant evidence of | significant evidence of facilitating the development and implementation of the plan by using specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues |
| facilitating the development | facilitating the development | facilitating the development | |
| and implementation of the | and implementation of the | and implementation of the | |
| plan by using specific adult- | plan by using specific adult- | plan by using specific adult- | |
| learning strategies used with | learning strategies used with | learning strategies used with | |
| colleagues | colleagues | colleagues | |





Rubric for Step 3: Overall Analysis and Reflection (Textboxes 5.3.1 and 5.3.2)

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|--|--|--|--|
| A response at the 1-level provides minimal evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementing process; to determine how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students and understanding of strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community; to reflect on the feedback received from colleagues during and following the process; and to determine how feedback from colleagues and analysis of the process will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to | A response at the 2-level provides partial evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementing process; to determine how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students and understanding of strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community; to reflect on the feedback received from colleagues during and following the process; and to determine how feedback from colleagues and analysis of the process will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to | A response at the 3-level provides effective evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementing process; to determine how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students and understanding of strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community; to reflect on the feedback received from colleagues during and following the process; and to determine how feedback from colleagues and analysis of the process will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to | A response at the 4-level provides extensive evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementing process; to determine how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students and understanding of strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community; to reflect on the feedback received from colleagues during and following the process; and to determine how feedback from colleagues and analysis of the process will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to |





Rubric for Step 3 (continued)

improve the educational system and student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is *minimal* and/or *ineffective* throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence may also be missing.

improve the educational system and student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is *limited* and/or vague throughout the response for Step 3.

improve the educational system and student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is *appropriate* and *connected* throughout the response for Step 3.

improve the educational system and student learning.

The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is *insightful* and *tightly connected* throughout the response for Step 3.

Score of 0 for Step 3

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 3 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 5—Step 3 textboxes.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 5—Step 3.
- None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 5 textboxes.
 - o Written feedback received from colleagues and stakeholders who were involved with this task
 - Feedback should include specific examples of how your work with colleagues improved the quality of their collaboration and interactions with families and the community.
 - Of the persons selected, one must be a colleague involved in the plan, and one must be someone in a supervisory or leadership role in your setting who may or may not have been involved in the plan.





Response for Textbox 5.3.1

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|---|---|---|--|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: |
| inaccurate evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process | cursory evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process | informed evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process | in-depth evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process |
| inappropriate evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students | limited evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students | appropriate evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students | significant evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students |
| ineffective evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community | partial evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community | effective evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community | insightful evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community |





Response for Textbox 5.3.2

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|---|--|---|---|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: |
| inaccurate evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning | cursory evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning | informed evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning | in-depth evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning |
| disconnected and/or trivial examples to support the responses | loosely connected and/or cursory examples to support the responses | connected and effective examples to support the responses | tightly connected and detailed examples to support the responses |





Rubric for Responses for all Textboxes

| Score of 1 | Score of 2 | Score of 3 | Score of 4 |
|---|--|---|--|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: | Response provides evidence that includes the following: |
| disconnected and/or trivial examples to support the responses | loosely connected and/or cursory examples to support the responses | connected and effective examples to support the responses | tightly connected and detailed examples to support the responses |

Copyright © 2023 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries. GACE and the GACE logo are registered trademarks of the Georgia Professional Standards Commission (GaPSC).