



# **GACE®** Teacher Leadership Assessment

Task 3: Professional Learning

Rubric for Step 1: Professional Learning (Textbox 3.1.1)

| Score of 1   | Score of 2   | Score of 3   | Score of 4   |
|--|--|--|--|
| A response at the 1-level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to use a needs assessment to inform and facilitate the design of a professional learning plan and to involve colleagues and school administrators in the design process; to determine a significant goal aligned with the school/district goals and/or improvement plan; to develop components of the professional learning plan connected to the teachers' needs and student learning; and to embed the professional learning plan | A response at the 2-level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to use a needs assessment to inform and facilitate the design of a professional learning plan and to involve colleagues and school administrators in the design process; to determine a significant goal aligned with the school/district goals and/or improvement plan; to develop components of the professional learning plan connected to the teachers' needs and student learning; and to embed the professional learning plan | A response at the 3-level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to use a needs assessment to inform and facilitate the design of a professional learning plan and to involve colleagues and school administrators in the design process; to determine a significant goal aligned with the school/district goals and/or improvement plan; to develop components of the professional learning plan connected to the teachers' needs and student learning; and to embed the professional learning plan | A response at the 4-level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to use a needs assessment to inform and facilitate the design of a professional learning plan and to involve colleagues and school administrators in the design process; to determine a significant goal aligned with the school/district goals and/or improvement plan; to develop components of the professional learning plan connected to the teachers' needs and student learning; and to embed the professional learning plan |





### Rubric for Step 1 (continued)

within the school/district culture.

The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is *minimal* and/or *ineffective* throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.

within the school/district culture.

The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is *limited* and/or vague throughout the response for Step 1.

within the school/district culture.

The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 1.

within the school/district culture.

The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is *insightful* and *tightly connected* throughout the response for Step 1.

#### Score of 0 for Step 1

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 1 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 3—Step 1 textbox.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 3—Step 1.
- The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.
- None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 3 textboxes.
  - o Professional learning plan





## Response for Textbox 3.1.1

| Score of 1  | Score of 2   | Score of 3  | Score of 4  |
|---|--|---|---|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following:   | Response provides evidence that includes the following:  | Response provides evidence that includes the following:   | Response provides evidence that includes the following:   |
| inappropriate evidence of the design of a professional learning plan, based on a needs assessment, that involves colleagues and school administrators in the design process | incomplete evidence of the design of a professional learning plan, based on a needs assessment, that involves colleagues and school administrators in the design process | appropriate evidence of the design of a professional learning plan, based on a needs assessment, that involves colleagues and school administrators in the design process | <ul> <li>significant evidence of the<br/>design of a professional<br/>learning plan, based on a<br/>needs assessment, that<br/>involves colleagues and<br/>school administrators in the<br/>design process</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>inappropriate evidence of a<br/>goal that is significant and<br/>aligned with the<br/>school/district goals and/or</li> </ul>                                      | limited evidence of a goal<br>that is significant and aligned<br>with the school/district goals<br>and/or improvement plan   | informed evidence of a goal<br>that is significant and aligned<br>with the school/district goals<br>and/or improvement plan   | <ul> <li>thorough evidence of a goal<br/>that is significant and aligned<br/>with the school/district goals<br/>and/or improvement plan</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>improvement plan</li> <li>minimal evidence of how the components of the professional learning plan connect to teachers' needs</li> </ul>                           | partial evidence of how the components of the professional learning plan connect to teachers' needs and student learning   | relevant evidence of how the components of the professional learning plan connect to teachers' needs and student learning   | <ul> <li>extensive evidence of how<br/>the components of the<br/>professional learning plan<br/>connect to teachers' needs<br/>and student learning</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>and student learning</li> <li>trivial evidence of how the plan is embedded within the school/district culture</li> </ul>   | uneven evidence of how the<br>plan is embedded within the<br>school/district culture   | appropriate evidence of how<br>the plan is embedded within<br>the school/district culture   | <ul> <li>in-depth evidence of how the<br/>plan is embedded within the<br/>school/district culture</li> </ul>  |





## Rubric for Step 2: Implementation of the Professional Learning Plan (Textbox 3.2.1)

| Score of 1  | Score of 2  | Score of 3   | Score of 4  |
|---|---|--|---|
| A response at the 1-level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the implementation of the professional learning plan that fosters coherent, integrated, and differentiated professional development; to identify resources to be used to meet the professional learning goals; to facilitate meaningful use of technology and/or media literacy; to provide support to colleagues during the professional learning process through feedback; to determine the effect of the professional learning plan on students and teachers.  The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is minimal and/or ineffective throughout the response for Step 2. Evidence may also be missing. | A response at the 2-level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the implementation of the professional learning plan that fosters coherent, integrated, and differentiated professional development; to identify resources to be used to meet the professional learning goals; to facilitate meaningful use of technology and/or media literacy; to provide support to colleagues during the professional learning process through feedback; to determine the effect of the professional learning plan on students and teachers.  The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is limited and/or vague throughout the response for Step 2. | A response at the 3-level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the implementation of the professional learning plan that fosters coherent, integrated, and differentiated professional development; to identify resources to be used to meet the professional learning goals; to facilitate meaningful use of technology and/or media literacy; to provide support to colleagues during the professional learning process through feedback; to determine the effect of the professional learning plan on students and teachers.  The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 2. | A response at the 4-level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the implementation of the professional learning plan that fosters coherent, integrated, and differentiated professional development; to identify resources to be used to meet the professional learning goals; to facilitate meaningful use of technology and/or media literacy; to provide support to colleagues during the professional learning process through feedback; to determine the effect of the professional learning plan on students and teachers.  The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is insightful and tightly connected throughout the response for Step 2. |





### Rubric for Step 2 (continued)

#### Score of 0 for Step 2

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 2 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 3—Step 2 textbox.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 3—Step 2.
- The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.
- None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 3 textboxes.
  - Materials that document the plan's coherence, integration, and/or differentiation, addressing two of these three characteristics





## Response for Textbox 3.2.1

| Score of 1   | Score of 2   | Score of 3   | Score of 4  |
|--|--|--|---|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following:  | Response provides evidence that includes the following:  | Response provides evidence that includes the following:  | Response provides evidence that includes the following:   |
| <ul> <li>ineffective evidence of<br/>facilitating the implementation<br/>of a professional learning plan<br/>that fosters coherent,<br/>integrated, and differentiated<br/>professional development</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>partial evidence of facilitating<br/>the implementation of a<br/>professional learning plan that<br/>fosters coherent, integrated,<br/>and differentiated professional<br/>development</li> </ul> | effective evidence of<br>facilitating the implementation<br>of a professional learning plan<br>that fosters coherent,<br>integrated, and differentiated<br>professional development  | significant evidence of facilitating the implementation of a professional learning plan that fosters coherent, integrated, and differentiated professional development                                  |
| minimal evidence of the identification of resources that meet the professional learning goals  | <ul> <li>incomplete evidence of the<br/>identification of resources that<br/>meet the professional learning<br/>goals</li> </ul>   | logical evidence of the identification of resources that meet the professional learning goals  | detailed evidence of the identification of resources that meet the professional learning goals  |
| <ul> <li>inappropriate evidence of<br/>facilitating the meaningful use<br/>of technology and/or media<br/>literacy</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>limited evidence of facilitating<br/>the meaningful use of<br/>technology and/or media<br/>literacy</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>appropriate evidence of<br/>facilitating the meaningful use<br/>of technology and/or media<br/>literacy</li> </ul>  | substantive evidence of<br>facilitating the meaningful use<br>of technology and/or media<br>literacy  |
| ineffective evidence of the<br>feedback provided to<br>colleagues to support them in<br>their professional developmen  | <ul> <li>cursory evidence of the<br/>feedback provided to<br/>colleagues to support them in<br/>their professional development</li> </ul>  | relevant evidence of the feedback provided to colleagues to support them in their professional development   | thorough evidence of the<br>feedback provided to<br>colleagues to support them in<br>their professional development   |
| trivial evidence of how the data and/or feedback was collaboratively collected, analyzed, and used with colleagues to determine how the professional learning plan affected the students and teachers          | partial evidence of how the data and/or feedback was collaboratively collected, analyzed, and used with colleagues to determine how the professional learning plan affected the students and teachers      | appropriate evidence of how<br>the data and/or feedback was<br>collaboratively collected,<br>analyzed, and used with<br>colleagues to determine how<br>the professional learning plan<br>affected the students and<br>teachers | extensive evidence of how the data and/or feedback was collaboratively collected, analyzed, and used with colleagues to determine how the professional learning plan affected the students and teachers |





### Rubric for Step 3: Evaluation of the Professional Learning Plan (Textbox 3.3.1)

| Score of 1   | Score of 2   | Score of 3   | Score of 4  |
|--|--|--|---|
| A response at the 1-level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to analyze how feedback received from students, teachers, and/or administrators will inform and facilitate the design and implementation of future professional learning; and to determine what supports to choose to advocate for promoting sustained professional learning. | A response at the 2-level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to analyze how feedback received from students, teachers, and/or administrators will inform and facilitate the design and implementation of future professional learning; and to determine what supports to choose to advocate for promoting sustained professional learning. | A response at the 3-level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to analyze how feedback received from students, teachers, and/or administrators will inform and facilitate the design and implementation of future professional learning; and to determine what supports to choose to advocate for promoting sustained professional learning. | A response at the 4-level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability, to analyze how feedback received from students, teachers, and/or administrators will inform and facilitate the design and implementation of future professional learning; and to determine what supports to choose to advocate for promoting sustained professional learning. |
| The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence may also be missing.   | The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 3.  | The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 3.  | The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 3.  |

#### Score of 0 for Step 3

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 3 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 3—Step 3 textbox.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 3—Step 3.
- The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.
- None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 3 textboxes.
  - o Documentation of feedback you received from students, teachers, and/or administrators





### Response for Textbox 3.3.1

| Score of 1   | Score of 2  | Score of 3   | Score of 4   |
|--|---|--|--|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following:  | Response provides evidence that includes the following:   | Response provides evidence that includes the following:  | Response provides evidence that includes the following:  |
| <ul> <li>uninformed evidence of how<br/>the feedback received from<br/>students, teachers, and/or<br/>administrators will inform and<br/>facilitate the design and<br/>implementation of future<br/>professional learning</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>limited evidence of how the<br/>feedback received from<br/>students, teachers, and/or<br/>administrators will inform and<br/>facilitate the design and<br/>implementation of future<br/>professional learning</li> </ul> | informed evidence of how the feedback received from students, teachers, and/or administrators will inform and facilitate the design and implementation of future professional learning | insightful evidence of how the feedback received from students, teachers, and/or administrators will inform and facilitate the design and implementation of future professional learning |
| <ul> <li>minimal evidence of advocacy<br/>for supports to promote<br/>sustained professional<br/>learning, with an ineffective<br/>rationale</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>incomplete evidence of<br/>advocacy for supports to<br/>promote sustained<br/>professional learning, with a<br/>tangential rationale</li> </ul>  | complete evidence of<br>advocacy for supports to<br>promote sustained<br>professional learning, with an<br>appropriate rationale   | significant evidence of<br>advocacy for supports to<br>promote sustained<br>professional learning, with a<br>thorough rationale  |

### Responses for all Textboxes

| Score of 1  | Score of 2   | Score of 3  | Score of 4   |
|---|--|---|--|
| Response provides evidence that includes the following:             | Response provides evidence that includes the following:                  | Response provides evidence that includes the following:           | Response provides evidence that includes the following:                |
| disconnected and/or trivial<br>examples to support the<br>responses | loosely connected and/or<br>cursory examples to support<br>the responses | connected and effective     examples to support the     responses | tightly connected and<br>detailed examples to support<br>the responses |

Copyright © 2023 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries. GACE and the GACE logo are registered trademarks of the Georgia Professional Standards Commission (GaPSC).